Logical Query Languages

Motivation:

1. Logical rules extend more naturally to recursive queries than does relational algebra.

◆ Used in SQL3 recursion.

2. Logical rules form the basis for many information-integration systems and applications.

Datalog Example

Likes(<u>drinker</u>, <u>beer</u>) Sells(<u>bar</u>, <u>beer</u>, price) Frequents(<u>drinker</u>, <u>bar</u>)

Happy(d) <Frequents(d,bar) AND
Likes(d,beer) AND
Sells(bar,beer,p)</pre>

- Above is a *rule*.
- Left side = head.
- Right side = body = AND of *subgoals*.
- Head and subgoals are *atoms*.
 - Atom = predicate and arguments.
 - Predicate = relation name or arithmetic predicate, e.g. <.
 - Arguments are variables or constants.
- Subgoals (not head) may optionally be negated by NOT.

Meaning of Rules

Head is true of its arguments if there exist values for *local* variables (those in body, not in head) that make all of the subgoals true.

• If no negation or arithmetic comparisons, just natural join the subgoals and project onto the head variables.

Example

Above rule equivalent to Happy(d) = $\pi_{drinker}$ (Frequents \bowtie Likes \bowtie Sells)

Evaluation of Rules

Two, dual, approaches:

- 1. Variable-based: Consider all possible assignments of values to variables. If all subgoals are true, add the head to the result relation.
- 2. *Tuple-based*: Consider all assignments of tuples to subgoals that make each subgoal true. If the variables are assigned consistent values, add the head to the result.

Example: Variable-Based Assignment

S(x,y) <- R(x,z) AND R(z,y) AND NOT R(x,y)

R =

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
A & B \\
\hline
1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 \\
\end{array}$$

• Only assignments that make first subgoal true:

1. $x \to 1, z \to 2.$

2.
$$x \to 2, z \to 3.$$

• In case (1), $y \to 3$ makes second subgoal true. Since (1,3) is *not* in *R*, the third subgoal is also true.

• Thus, add (x, y) = (1, 3) to relation S.

• In case (2), no value of y makes the second subgoal true. Thus, S =

Example: Tuple-Based Assignment

Trick: start with the positive (not negated), relational (not arithmetic) subgoals only.

S(x,y) <- R(x,z) AND R(z,y) AND NOT R(x,y)

R =

А	В
$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2 \end{array}$	2 3

• Four assignments of tuples to subgoals:

R(x,z)	R(z,y)
(1,2)	(1,2)
(1,2)	(2,3)
(2,3)	(1,2)
(2,3)	(2,3)

- Only the second gives a consistent value to z.
- That assignment also makes NOT R(x,y) true.
- Thus, (1,3) is the only tuple for the head.

Safety

A rule can make no sense if variables appear in funny ways.

Examples

- S(x) <- R(y)
- $S(x) \leftarrow NOT R(x)$
- S(x) <- R(y) AND x < y

In each of these cases, the result is infinite, even if the relation R is finite.

- To make sense as a database operation, we need to require three things of a variable x. If x appears in either
 - 1. The head,
 - 2. A negated subgoal, or
 - 3. An arithmetic comparison,

then x must also appear in a nonnegated, "ordinary" (relational) subgoal of the body.

• We insist that rules be safe, henceforth.

Datalog Programs

- A collection of rules is a *Datalog program*.
- Predicates/relations divide into two classes:
 - $\bullet \quad \text{EDB} = extensional \ database = relation \\ \text{stored in DB.}$
 - $IDB = intensional \ database = relation defined by one or more rules.$
- A predicate must be IDB or EDB, not both.
 - Thus, an IDB predicate can appear in the body or head of a rule; EDB only in the body.

Example

Convert the following SQL (Find the manufacturers of the beers Joe sells):

```
Beers(<u>name</u>, manf)
Sells(<u>bar</u>, <u>beer</u>, price)
SELECT manf
FROM Beers
WHERE name IN(
    SELECT beer
    FROM Sells
    WHERE bar = 'Joe''s Bar'
);
```

to a Datalog program.

```
JoeSells(b) <-
    Sells('Joe''s Bar', b, p)
Answer(m) <-
    JoeSells(b) AND Beers(b,m)</pre>
```

• Note: Beers, Sells = EDB; JoeSells, Answer = IDB.

Expressive Power of Datalog

- Nonrecursive Datalog = relational algebra.
- Datalog simulates SQL select-from-where without aggregation and grouping.
- Recursive Datalog expresses queries that cannot be expressed in SQL.
- But none of these languages have full expressive power (*Turing completeness*).

Relational Algebra to Datalog

- Text has constructions for each of the operators of R.A.
 - Only hard part: selections with OR's and NOT's.
- Simulate a R.A. expression in Datalog by creating an IDB predicate for each interior node and using the constuction for the operator at that node.

Example: Find the bars that sell two different beers at the same price.



Datalog to Relational Algebra

- General rule is complex; the following often works for single rules:
 - Problems not handled: constant arguments and variables appearing twice in the same atom.
 - ♦ Can you provide the necessary fixes?
 - 1. Use ρ to create for each relational subgoal a relation whose schema is the variables of that subgoal.
 - 2. Handle negated subgoals by finding an expression for the finite set of all possible values for each of its variables (π a suitable column) and take their product. Then subtract.
 - 3. Natural join the relations from (1), (2).
 - 4. Get the effect of arithmetic comparisons with σ .
 - 5. Project onto head with π .
- Several rules for same predicate: use \cup .

Example

$$\begin{split} & \texttt{S1}(\texttt{x},\texttt{y},\texttt{z}) := \rho_{R1(x,z)}(\texttt{R}) \bowtie \rho_{R2(z,y)}(\texttt{R}); \\ & \texttt{S2}(\texttt{x},\texttt{y}) := \pi_x(\texttt{S1}) \times \pi_y(\texttt{S1}); \\ & \texttt{S3}(\texttt{x},\texttt{y}) := \texttt{S2} - \rho_{R3(x,y)}(\texttt{R}); \\ & \texttt{S}(\texttt{x},\texttt{y}) := \pi_{x,y}(\texttt{S1}(\texttt{x},\texttt{y},\texttt{z}) \bowtie \texttt{S3}(\texttt{x},\texttt{y})); \end{split}$$

Recursion

- IDB predicate P depends on predicate Q if there is a rule with P in the head and Q in a subgoal.
- Draw a graph: nodes = IDB predicates, arc $P \rightarrow Q$ means P depends on Q.
- Cycles iff recursive.

Recursive Example

```
Sib(x,y) <- Par(x,p) AND Par(y,p)
AND x <> y
Cousin(x,y) <- Sib(x,y)
Cousin(x,y) <- Par(x,xp)
AND Par(y,yp)
AND Cousin(xp,yp)</pre>
```

Iterative Fixed-Point Evaluates Recursive Rules



Example EDB Par =



• Note, because of symmetry, Sib and Cousin facts appear in pairs, so we shall mention only (x, y) when both (x, y) and (y, x) are meant.

	Sib	Cousin
Initial	Ø	Ø
Round 1 add:	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Ø
Round 2 add:		$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Round 3 add:		$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Round 4 add:		$(k,\overline{k})\ (i,j)$